

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition Misc. Single No. 2672 of 2024

Sandeep Maindola

--Petitioner

Versus

State Of Uttarakhand and Others --Respondents

Presence:-

Mr. G.P. Awasthi and Mr. Anil K. Bisht, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Anil Dabral, learned Additional C.S.C. for the State of Uttarakhand/respondent Nos.1 to 5.

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. By means of this writ petition, petitioner sought the indulgence of this Court for a has direction to respondent Nos.4 & 5 and other concerned officers of the Education Department to take appropriate action in the matter of respondent Nos.6 & 7, as the petitioner being Headmaster is not an authority to take decision in regard to payment of withheld salary and increments of the respondent Nos.7 & 8, as the then Committee of Management took unanimous decision for stopping of the increments and salary of the respondent Nos.7 & 8 and further other ancillary reliefs.

3. The present writ petition is a strange one filed by the petitioner for releasing the salary of the respondent Nos.7 & 8. This Court is surprised to know that the salary of the respondent Nos.7 & 8



being paid to them by the allegedly is not institution, The where respondent-State. the & 8 are working, is a nonrespondent Nos.7 government aided institution and for whatever reason the salary of the respondent Nos.7 & 8 is not being paid by the State Government, they can very well file the writ petition for redressal of their grievances. The petitioner is a Head Master of that institution, who, according to this Court, has no right to maintain the present writ petition against the respondent-State to espouse the cause of the respondent Nos.7 & 8.

4. Hence, I do not find any substance in the present writ petition and consequently, being devoid of merit, the present writ petition fails and is dismissed *in-limine*.

(**Pankaj Purohit, J**.) 14.10.2024

PN