

## **In Chamber**

**Case :-** CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 10986 of 2024

**Applicant :-** Sanjay Kriplani

**Opposite Party :-** State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko

**Counsel for Applicant :-** Santosh Kumar Yadav, Rishabh Gupta

**Counsel for Opposite Party :-** G.A.

**Hon'ble Mohd. Faiz Alam Khan, J.**

1. It is reported that in the order dated 24.10.2024, which was passed by this Court on correction application moved in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 10986 of 2024 (Sanjay Kriplani vs. State of U.P.), with regard to correction of the order dated 18.10.2024, due to inadvertent typographical mistake instead of Criminal Misc. Bail Application the word 'Criminal Appeal' has been typed, while the order dated 18.10.2024 has been passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 10986 of 2024.

2. Having considered the report of P.S. concerned, it is evident that a pure clerical typographical mistake has occurred in order dated 24.10.2024 which requires correction. Thus, the correction order dated 24.10.2024 passed in C.M. Application No. IA/1/2024 is, hereby, **corrected** in terms that in the 4th line of the first paragraph of that order the word Criminal appeal shall now be read as "**Criminal Misc. Bail Application**" and now the order dated 18.10.2024 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 10986 of 2024 shall be read to have been passed in connection with Case Crime No. 400 of 2023.

3. In pursuance of the order dated 24.10.2024, the order dated 18.10.2024 has already been corrected.

4. If a certified copy of the order dated 18.10.2024 has already been issued, the same shall be corrected in view of this order as well as the order dated 24.10.2024 in terms that in 3rd line of 3rd paragraph of the order dated 18.10.2024 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 10986 of 2024, Case Crime No. 400 of 2024 shall now be read as "**Case Crime No. 400 of 2023**".

5. Learned counsel for the parties be informed accordingly.

**Order Date :-** 26.10.2024

Praveen