



\$~15

+

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

Date of decision: 28th January, 2025

CRL.L.P. 197/2022

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI Through:Petitioner

Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri, APP for State with Mr. Lalit Luthra, Adv. with SI Sangeeta PS S.B. Dairy.

versus

SUBHAN ALI @ GANGI & ANR.Respondents Through: Ms. Sairica Raju, Adv. (Amicus) on behalf of both the Respondents with Mr. Aditya Goswami, Adv. (Assisting Amicus) (M:9205105221)

CORAM: JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

Prathiba M. Singh, J. (Oral)

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

2. The present leave petition has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner-State of NCT of Delhi assailing the impugned judgment of conviction and order on sentence dated 11th December, 2019 and 13th December, 2019 respectively, passed by Sh, Umesh Grewal, ld. ASJ, Special FTC (North), Rohini Courts, Delhi, whereby the Accused/Respondent has been convicted in *SC No. 58548/16*, in FIR No. 543/15 registered in PS. Shahbad Dairy under Sections 376D/451/506/34 IPC.

3. Vide the impugned order on sentence, the Accused/Respondent herein has been convicted under Sections 452/354 IPC. The relevant portion of the





order on sentence dated 13th December, 2019 is extracted hereinunder:

"3. Taking into account mitigating and militating circumstances, convict Subhan Ali @ Gangi is directed to undergo rigorous imprisonment of three years and pay fine of Rs. 3,000/- for offence punishable u/s. 452 IPC. In default of payment of fine, he shall undergo simple imprisonment for two months.

The convict is further directed to undergo rigorous imprisonment of three years and to pay fine of Rs. 2,000/- for offence punishable u/s. 354 IPC. In default of payment of fine, he shall further undergo simple imprisonment for two months.

4. Fine of Rs. 5,000/- paid by the convict.
5. Both sentences shall shall concurrently. However, benefit section 428 Cr.P.C. be given to him."

4. The background of the present case as stated in the petition is that an incident was reported by the complainant/survivor, stating that, on 26th April, 2015 at about 3:00 PM she was sexually assaulted by the Accused/Respondent herein. Thereafter, a PCR call was made at about 3.45 PM and in the said call it was recorded that the complainant had alleged that the Accused/Respondent had don*e 'chedhchad'* with her. It was further alleged that two children were present at the time when the Accused had misbehaved with her.

5. The statement of the survivor under Section 164 Cr.P.C. was recorded before the ld. Magistrate being Ex.PW1/A. The survivor had stated as under:





BATTON HUNT WITH WID STUTIO RID C-2/1856, Sec 27, Pohini Dellii, Age-23 Mubile No. 9873402911 Permanent Adress: Jhuggi No. B-635, J J Comp, Suraj Pork क्रमा किया कि में पता उपरोक्त घर किराके के मलान के अपने परि व दी बर्स्सी के साथ पहती हैं। ये 10 वी करता तक पही हूँ और ट्योल अहोला हूँ । आज साम्य जराज 3 वाजे दिन में अपने पार पर अर्जेली शे A HE WEIT & VED WINN MENT Subhan Ali @ Crangee Sto Kinlarnand trajeed 54 million 25 ATR ne mit & ware the cost में अपने करते के पेशान करक स्वडी हरे की ल नाहा ताय गेगा पावका देना कोड या गिता गिम्म क्रीर 400 towart (तरा गरेग भूतन म्य बार में जान दी । उसने अपना करछ नही है और मेरे उत्तर भेर भामा व ्यूसा दिया। मने उसे समका भाग व मेर थेते तें वह तहा में भाग गामा 1 Subhan Ali & Gra rape करने को जोजा का है का नहीं के का नहीं। वार्ष १९ ने भेरे सार-धु धताद कर मेरा क्यान लिएन मानू लिमा ही के BSA Harportal TINGO Madarm an HATA Par 216 CHIO H ò

6. On perusal of the above, it is seen that the survivor has stated that the Accused/Respondent had entered her room forcibly and after she had gone to the washroom, the Accused/Respondent had pushed her on the bed and had tried to molest/rape her. In the said statement there is no allegation of sexual intercourse.

7. In terms of these facts, the trial was conducted and the survivor had testified before the Court. In the testimony she stated that the Accused/Respondent had inserted his finger in her private parts. The Trial Court, however, disbelieved the same and convicted the Accused/Respondent only under Section 354/452 of IPC. Under Section 376 of IPC the Accused/Respondent was acquitted. The reasoning given by the Trial Court is as under:

"22. PCR forms shows that at the very outset, the victim told PCR van official at 3.47 pm that her neighbour, who was





drunk, had done 'chedchad' with her. She was medically examined on the same day at 7.35 pm and she told the treating doctor that her neighbour Gangi had tried to harass and assault her sexually. Statement of the victim to the PCR official that the accused was drunk, is corroborated by MLC Ex.PW8/A of Subhan Ali, prepared just aftger nine hours of the incident, in which it is mentioned that BAC was 18 mg. So, as per MLC also, acused Subhan Ali @ Gangi was drunk. 23. Hence, there is no dispute about the complicity of accused Subhan Ali @ Gangi in the offence. The moot question is about exact offence done by him."

8. The submission of Mr. Bahri, ld. APP for State is that the testimony of PW-1 is clear to the effect that there was sexual assault committed by the Accused/Respondent and that he had inserted his finger into her private parts. Hence, it is a clear case of rape under Section 376 of IPC. Mr. Bahri, ld. APP further submits that the previous statements made on behalf of the survivor have not been confronted to the witness, hence the same cannot be considered as an improvement to acquit the Accused under Section 376 IPC.

9. On the other hand, Ms. Raju, ld. Counsel for the Accused/Respondent submits that this testimony of the survivor is not to be believed.

10. The Court has heard the matter. On a perusal of the initial complaint as also the statement of the survivor under Section 164 Cr.P.C., the Trial Court observes that there is absence of the following facts:

- Mr. Nand Kishore, the co-accused with whom the husband of the survivor had a dispute, was standing outside the door of the room where the alleged incident occurred;
- (ii) There is no allegation that the Accused/Respondent had inserted his finger in the private parts of the survivor.

In view of these two facts, the testimony given before the Court, was not





believed.

11. Clearly, the reasoning of the Trial Court is a plausible reasoning. A perusal of the MLC would also show that contemporaneously, the prosecutrix did not allege any sexual intercourse. The MLC is extracted below for a ready reference:

) erezvente 41-/tennegenev 14e guzente 42.46	सभीन सलकते होड. दिल्ली जन्मार 6००.०/M द्वॉंo नाना साहेन जान्वेडकर अस्पताल R. BABA SAHEB AMBEDKAR HOSPITA म्यानिक विक्रिया केंट रिकोर्ड/MEDKO	, सेक्टर-6, सोहिणी, दिल्ली-85 kL, SEC-6, ROHINI, DELHI – 85 LEGAI, CASE RECORD	वरि गती हुआ से गती की सरीख त Admitted, Data of Admittion की.इ. २४०/CR Noशार्व २४०/We	
m/m Sasi m/m m/m m m/more Afert m/m 22/ m/m france france have been were seen and and the second and have been and more been and the metabolic met				
ur er er /neuha seen				
the d set of this of set on A the A this and a set of the and a set of a se			0.00100000	1
what as speece form, / Marks of identification of patient :	At mark Sons 27mpt	brught is no o	and any port	
1 Dura mark was at char regis	permed sur prop	o os. successes prove	a co-metrice	1
2 jerus on At formore	Be normed Serie Hapt	d 5 coreany un	erani asin	
			erau o co	
3. who is any an Premi/Thumb Impressions of publicity	6 6-10 m	server un. 1	Ca.	
wit/Luit out/Right	Crowinching on utensy		Sp /AM	
	As per cond, her reage	June Garge 215mg		
	on per cond, that	wayness her is	27 control conneg	اسعى
	as my me inclusion	sen senses uno:	وعصيمي ١٢٠٠ والمار و	
	annuely k assault have	hered . pull	prote	
140-15 22 - 4845 50	LA AD INTEROUT		P 0 0	
at an / soughe by boter, Krinhop 1845 5	en Granichin (1977)	12. 7 9.44	of shaft years and	1
darawa,/ya.ad.an. d. un w. 20/FB.No. <u>7.2-PT</u> Under Section No.	At consciency & and	dia lata	भी शंधारी जाझ महें one!!!	
dateres at fifte/reaminet/ sett O # . D . Look	Ar anoun & and	161 H 1 9	and a second	Con.
2619115 15 5.5 505 T	Se for Egel	13300 -18EC	A Se Anno	NO 425
gibte allanti (afu allanti) ei fitteri :	an Jon of	Religious and and		·
my reason field K 15 4 m 200 m / Balt No. 64 40	way was no jues	4 the PSP (Aller		Or I
Name of Roket/ Police Station 5.8.9.0.07	Pollutit for statement Q.S.	200 ME	"AF	
	which an usare	प्रदेश संवर का प्रकार सभा भीटन	annyweathe fellows advant an	Starry 1844
appl strates on New MC Saresh Karmer"	Nature of Injuries	Nature of Sample Collected & Sealed	Particulars of the Casualty Medication	
the No./Sell No. 369/09 enters/Senature	(app-out, encore, od ese, mercene, ere system) (Net, Simple, Grieveux, Dangsroux, Opinion Reservent)		ROOME/Sprane Dr. 2000	Labra.
	<u> </u>		TR/None (MEADIN	JUCA SHORN
TETRIA STORE / MIChecelved By:	Kind of Weapon-wand-or Policen supported in case of policening gradeeux alt wij affectiv, wa wate alt fileren	egge strended / Sample Received by :	1898/000 26/ Y/15 WWW.	1500 2 45PA
The atoms as any same of Palice Personnel CY. FOR ANY	k		RENT/Dept. CPS & Co	
an en sor so weres S.C. Donger son your			and the start of the	

12. In view of the above evidence which has come on record as also the fact that there appears to be a clear improvement in the testimony of the survivor, the impugned judgment cannot be faulted and it does not warrant any interference.

13. The present petition seeking leave is, accordingly, dismissed. Pending applications, if any, are also disposed of.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

JANUARY 28, 2025/VLD/rks