



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 8TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.21492 OF 2022 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. RAMESH. H. L.
S/O. LATE LAKKAPPA
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
2. SMT. GANGAMMA
W/O. LATE LAKKAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 85 YEARS,
RESIDING AT HURALIHALLI VILLAGE,
BHOODHIHAL POST,
NELAMANGALA TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT-562 123.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. NARASEGOWDA K., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE HDB FINANCIAL SERVICES LTD.,
CO-APP. REP BY ITS MANAGER,
2ND FLOOR, 2079/43,
RAILWAY LINE PIPE RPC LAYOUT,
9TH CROSS, 2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGAR,
BENGALURU -560 040.
REGISTERED UNDER COMPANY ACT.

...RESPONDENT





THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH LEGAL NOTICE DATED 14.06.2022 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT TO THE 1ST AND 2ND PETITIONERS TO PAY A BALANCE AMOUNT OF RS.96,000/- OTHER WISE TAKE A POSSESSION OF THE VEHICLE IN ANNEXURE -D HDB/KA/DN/June-22/APPS&CO.-APP/25. DIRECT THE RESPONDENT OR HIS AGENTS/SERVANTS/EMPLOYEES /SEIZERS NOT SEIZE THE VEHICLE OR TAKE POSSESSION OF THE VEHICLE BEARING REG. NO.KA-52-A-8332 TATA MOTORS. LPT 1412.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. Though sufficient time has been granted, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has not complied with the office objections.

2. In this writ petition, the petitioners have challenged the legal notice dated 14.06.2022 issued



by the respondent-the HBD Financial Services Ltd., produced at Annexure-D. However, petitioners cannot invoke Article 226 of Constitution of India to challenge the legal notice produced at Annexure-D. Hence, this writ petition is not maintainable.

Accordingly, the writ petition is ***dismissed***.

**Sd/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE**