

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.8

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 12110/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 08-04-2025 in BA No. 2758/2025 passed by the High Court of Jharkhand at Ranchi]

MD IMRAN @D.C. GUDDU

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF JHARKHAND

Respondent(s)

Date : 13-11-2025 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Rajeev Singh, Adv.
Mr. Samant Singh, Adv.
Ms. Anu H. Kirutthika, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. This is the second matter on the board today.
2. The State of Jharkhand, over a period of time, is cutting a very sorry figure. In many cases, we have noticed that the State does not care to appear. When it appears, it is too late

in the day.

3. This matter is coming up for the second time before us.

4. Today also, no one has appeared on behalf of the State of Jharkhand.

5. The matter before us is a bail application. The petitioner, along with two other co-accused, was added as an accused in the original trial by the trial court in exercise of its powers under Section 319 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 (for short "the Cr.P.C").

6. We take notice of the fact that the police, on completion of the investigation, had filed a report that the petitioner herein was in no way involved in the alleged crime. When the trial was in progress against the charge sheeted accused persons, three eye witnesses deposed about the complicity of the present petitioner also and the two other co-accused.

7. The trial against the petitioner is in progress. The petitioner prayed for bail before the High Court of Jharkhand. The High Court, by a very cryptic order, has rejected the bail application.

8. In such circumstances, the petitioner is here before us praying for bail.

9. We are taken by surprise to note that two other accused who are added by the trial court along with the present petitioner in exercise of its powers under Section 319 of the

Cr.P.C. were granted anticipatory bail by the High Court. We have no idea in what circumstances anticipatory bail came to be granted to the other two co-accused.

10. As noted above, there is no discussion worth the name in the impugned order, and therefore, we are unable to understand what is the case against the present petitioner. What is the overt act attributed to the present petitioner? We did not have the advantage of hearing the State in this matter. No one has entered appearance despite service.

11. We take a serious view of this matter.

12. In such circumstances, referred to above, we direct the Home Secretary of the State of Jharkhand to appear before us on-line tomorrow i.e. 14.11.2025.

13. The Registry to inform about this order passed by us to the Home Secretary of the State of Jharkhand immediately.

14. List the matter tomorrow i.e. on 14.11.2025 on the top of the board.

(CHANDRESH)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)